

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>		Application No. 10/078,014	Applicant(s) SCHINDLER, A. RUSSELL
		Examiner Christopher Upton	Art Unit 1724
<b>All Participants:</b>  (1) <u>Christopher Upton</u> .  (2) <u>Jeffrey Chapp</u> .	<b>Status of Application:</b> _____  (3) _____.  (4) _____.  <b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>4 December 2003</u> <b>Time:</b> _____		
<b>Type of Interview:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____			
<b>Part I.</b>			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 1, 17			
Prior art documents discussed: <i>Prior art of record.</i>			
<b>Part II.</b>			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>It was agreed to amend claims 1 and 17 to positively recite the plurality of conduits in a single entry hole, to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record.</i>			
<b>Part III.</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)		<b>CHRISTOPHER UPTON</b> <b>PRIMARY EXAMINER</b> (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	